	Case 2:21-cv-02078-TLN-CKD Docume	nt 11 Filed 03/08/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KRZYSZTOF F. WOLINSKI	No. 2:21-cv-02078-TLN-CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ABDULBASET ABDULGADER, et al.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	By order filed January 27, 2022, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave	
18	to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff	
19	has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
21	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
23	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
24	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
25	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
26	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
27	////	
28		
		1

Case 2:21-cv-02078-TLN-CKD Document 11 Filed 03/08/22 Page 2 of 2 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: March 8, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 12/woli2078.fta(1).docx